

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

TRANSLATION
PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference HJ05-21		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2006/306209	International filing date (day/month/year) 28.03.2006	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA HINOMOTO JOMAE		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/306209

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 the international application in the language in which it was filed
 the translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 on paper
 in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed
 filed together with the international application in electronic form
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/306209

Box No. V <u>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</u>																			
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td align="center">Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims 1-3</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims 3</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims 1-2</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims 1-3</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> </table>		Novelty (N)	Claims 1-3	YES		Claims _____	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 3	YES		Claims 1-2	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-3	YES		Claims _____	NO
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-3	YES																	
	Claims _____	NO																	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 3	YES																	
	Claims 1-2	NO																	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-3	YES																	
	Claims _____	NO																	
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 32068/1982 (Laid-open No. 136557/1983) (Kabushiki Kaisha Urushihara), 13 September 1983, entire text, fig. 1-6 (Family: none)</p> <p>Document 2: JP 3093936 U (Seitetsu SAI), 23 May 2003, entire text, fig. 1-10 (Family: none)</p> <p>Document 3: JP 3085907 U (Odaira Seisakusho, Ltd.), 31 May 2002, entire text, fig. 1-7 (Family: none)</p> <p>In the light of document 1 and document 2 cited in the ISR, the inventions of claims 1 and 2 do not involve an inventive step. It is easy to find a correspondence by replacing the dial lock and the dial part in the operating plate (corresponding to the bolt) mechanism described in document 1 with the dial lock and key mechanism described in document 2.</p> <p>The invention of claim 3 is not described in any of the</p>																			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/306209

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

documents cited in the international search report, nor would it be obvious even to a person skilled in the art.